arXiv:1704.06957v1 [math.AG] 23 Apr 2017

ENTROPY OF AN AUTOEQUIVALENCE ON CALABI–YAU MANIFOLDS

YU-WEI FAN

Abstract. We prove that the categorical entropy of the autoequivalence $\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}} \circ (- \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1))$ on a Calabi–Yau manifold is the unique positive real number λ satisfying

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\chi(\mathcal{O}(k))}{e^{k\lambda}} = e^{(d-1)t}.$$

We then use this result to construct the first counterexamples of a conjecture on categorical entropy by Kikuta and Takahashi.

1. Introduction

In the pioneering work [3], Dimitrov–Haiden–Katzarkov–Kontsevich introduced the notion of categorical entropy of an endofunctor on a triangulated category with a split generator. A typical example of such triangulated category is given by the bounded derived category $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ of coherent sheaves on a variety X. When X is a smooth projective variety with ample (anti-)canonical bundle, the group of autoequivalences on $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ is well-understood [2]. It is generated by tensoring line bundles, automorphisms on the variety, and degree shifts. The categorical entropy in this case was computed by Kikuta and Takahashi [9]. On the other hand, when the variety is Calabi–Yau, there are much more autoequivalences on $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ because of the presence of spherical objects.

In this article, we show that the composite of the simplest spherical twist $\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ with $- \otimes \mathcal{O}(-H)$ already gives an interesting categorical entropy.

Theorem 1.1 (= Theorem 3.1)**.** *Let X be a strict Calabi–Yau manifold over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $d \geq 3$. Consider the autoequivalence $\Phi := \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \circ (- \otimes \mathcal{O}(-H))$ on $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$. The categorical entropy $h_t(\Phi)$ is a positive function in $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $h_t(\Phi)$ is the unique $\lambda > 0$ satisfying*

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\chi(\mathcal{O}(kH))}{e^{k\lambda}} = e^{(d-1)t}.$$

A simple argument on Hilbert polynomial shows that this equation defines an algebraic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ in the coordinate (e^t, e^λ). Thus the algebraicity conjecture in [3, Question 4.1] holds in this case.

The notion of categorical entropy is a categorical analogue of topological entropy of a continuous surjective self-map on a compact metric space. There is a fundamental theorem of topological entropy due to Gromov and Yomdin.

Theorem 1.2 ([4, 5, 15])**.** *Let M be a compact Kähler manifold and let $f : M \to M$ be a surjective holomorphic map. Then*

$$h_{\mathrm{top}}(f) = \log \rho(f^*),$$

where $h_{\mathrm{top}}(f)$ is the topological entropy of f, and $\rho(f^*)$ is the spectral radius of $f^* : H^*(M;\mathbb{C}) \to H^*(M;\mathbb{C})$.

Kikuta and Takahashi proposed the following analogous conjecture on categorical entropy.

Conjecture 1.3 ([9])**.** *Let X be a smooth proper variety over $\mathbb{C}$ and let Φ be an autoequivalence on $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$. Then*

$$h_0(\Phi) = \log \rho(\mathrm{HH}_\bullet(\Phi)),$$

where $\mathrm{HH}_\bullet(\Phi) : \mathrm{HH}_\bullet(X) \to \mathrm{HH}_\bullet(X)$ is the induced $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism on the Hochschild homology group of X, and $\rho(\mathrm{HH}_\bullet(\Phi))$ is its spectral radius.

Note that one can replace $\mathrm{HH}_\bullet(\Phi)$ by the induced Fourier-Mukai type action on the cohomology $\Phi_{H^*} : H^*(X;\mathbb{C}) \to H^*(X;\mathbb{C})$, because there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{HH}_\bullet(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{HH}_\bullet(\Phi)} & \mathrm{HH}_\bullet(X) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle I_K^X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle I_K^X} \\ H^*(X;\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{H^*}} & H^*(X;\mathbb{C}), \end{array}$$

where I_K^X is the modified Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg isomorphism [10, Theorem 1.2]. Hence $\rho(\mathrm{HH}_\bullet(\Phi)) = \rho(\Phi_{H^*})$.

Conjecture 1.3 has been proved in several cases. See [7, 8, 9, 16].

Now we explain the motivation of the present work, namely why we should not expect Conjecture 1.3 to hold in general. We first note that Theorem 1.2 does not hold if f is not holomorphic. For example, there is a construction by Thurston [14] of pseudo-Anosov maps that act trivially on the cohomology,

and thus have zero spectral radius. Moreover, Dimitrov–Haiden–Katzarkov–Kontsevich [3, Theorem 2.18] showed that the categorical entropy of the induced autoequivalence on the derived Fukaya category is equal to $\log \lambda$, where $\lambda > 1$ is the stretch factor of the pseudo-Anosov map. Hence the analogous statement of Conjecture 1.3 is not true if $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ is replaced by the derived Fukaya categories of the symplectic manifolds considered in [3, 14].

Motivated by homological mirror symmetry, one may expect to find counterexamples of Conjecture 1.3 on the derived categories of coherent sheaves on Calabi–Yau manifolds. In other words, the discrepancy between complex and symplectic geometry should lead to the discrepancy between Theorem 1.2 and Conjecture 1.3.

Using Theorem 1.1, we construct counterexamples of Conjecture 1.3.

Proposition 1.4 (= Proposition 4.1)**.** *For any even integer $d \geq 4$, let X be a Calabi–Yau hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^{d+1}$ of degree $(d+2)$ and $\Phi = \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \circ (- \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1))$. Then*

$$h_0(\Phi) > 0 = \log \rho(\Phi_{H^*}).$$

In particular, Conjecture 1.3 fails in this case.

Interestingly, as pointed out to the author by Genki Ouchi, the same autoequivalence does not produce counterexamples of Conjecture 1.3 if X is an *odd* dimensional Calabi–Yau manifold (see Remark 4.3).

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Categorical entropy.** We recall the notion of categorical entropy introduced by Dimitrov-Haiden-Katzarkov-Kontsevich [3].

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category. A triangulated subcategory is called *thick* if it is closed under taking direct summands. The *split closure* of an object $E \in \mathcal{D}$ is the smallest thick triangulated subcategory containing E. An object $G \in \mathcal{D}$ is called a *split generator* if its split closure is $\mathcal{D}$.

Definition 2.1 ([3])**.** *Let E and F be non-zero objects in $\mathcal{D}$. If F is in the split closure of E, then the* complexity *of F relative to E is defined to be the function*

$$\delta_t(E,F) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} e^{n_i t} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A_1 & \cdots & A_{k-1} & \longrightarrow & F \oplus F' \\ & \nwarrow \swarrow & & & & \nwarrow \swarrow & \\ & E[n_1] & & \cdots & & E[n_k] & \end{array} \right\},$$

where t is a real parameter that keeps track of the shiftings.

Definition 2.2 ([3]). *Let D be a triangulated category with a split generator G and let $\Phi : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}$ be an endofunctor. The* categorical entropy *of Φ is defined to be the function $h_t(\Phi) : \mathbb{R} \to [-\infty, \infty)$ given by*

$$h_t(\Phi) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \delta_t(G, \Phi^n G).$$

Lemma 2.3 ([3]). *The limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \delta_t(G, \Phi^n G)$ exists in $[-\infty, \infty)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and is independent of the choice of the split generator G.*

We will use the following proposition to compute categorical entropy.

Proposition 2.4 ([3, 9]). *Let G and G' be split generators of $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ and let Φ be an autoequivalence on $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$. Then the categorical entropy equals to*

$$h_t(\Phi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} \dim \mathrm{Hom}^l_{\mathcal{D}^b(X)}(G, \Phi^n G') e^{-lt}.$$

2.2. **Spherical objects and spherical twists.** We recall the notions of spherical objects and spherical twists introduced by Seidel-Thomas [13]. They are the categorical analogue of Lagrangian spheres in symplectic manifolds and the Dehn twists along Lagrangian spheres.

Definition 2.5 ([13]). *An object $S \in \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ is called* spherical *if $S \otimes \omega_X \cong S$ and $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet_{\mathcal{D}^b(X)}(S, S) = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}[-\dim X]$.*

Definition 2.6 ([13]). *The* spherical twist T_S *with respect to a spherical object S is an autoequivalence on $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ given by*

$$E \mapsto \mathrm{T}_S(E) := \mathrm{Cone}(\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet_{\mathcal{D}^b(X)}(S, E) \otimes S \to E).$$

We also recall the definition of strict Calabi–Yau manifolds.

Definition 2.7. *A smooth projective variety X is called* strict Calabi–Yau *if $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for all $0 < i < \dim X$. This is equivalent to the condition that $\mathcal{O}_X$ is a spherical object.*

3. Computation of categorical entropy

We fix the notations and assumptions that will be used throughout this section.

Notations. We work over complex number field $\mathbb{C}$.

- X is a strict Calabi–Yau manifold (Definition 2.7) with a very ample line bundle H.
- $d := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X \geq 3$.
- $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\mathcal{O}(k) := \mathcal{O}_X(kH)$.
- $a_k := h^0(\mathcal{O}(k)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}(k))$ for $k > 0$.
- $G := \oplus_{i=1}^{d+1}\mathcal{O}(i)$ and $G' := \oplus_{i=1}^{d+1}\mathcal{O}(-i)$. By a result of Orlov [11], both G and G' are split generators of $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$.

The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a strict Calabi–Yau manifold over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $d \geq 3$. Consider the autoequivalence $\Phi := \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}} \circ (- \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1))$ on $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$. The categorical entropy $h_t(\Phi)$ is a positive function in $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $h_t(\Phi)$ is the unique $\lambda > 0$ satisfying*

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\chi(\mathcal{O}(k))}{e^{k\lambda}} = e^{(d-1)t}.$$

We begin with a lemma that will be crucial in the computation of categorical entropy.

Lemma 3.2. *For any integers $n \geq 0$ and $k > 0$, $\mathrm{Hom}^l(\mathcal{O}, \Phi^n(G') \otimes \mathcal{O}(-k))$ is zero except for $l = d, d + (d-1), \ldots, d + n(d-1)$.*

Proof. We prove by induction on n. The statement is true when $n = 0$ by Kodaira vanishing theorem and Serre duality.

By the definition of Φ, there is an exact triangle

$$\Phi^{n-1}(G') \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \to \Phi^n(G') \to \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}, \Phi^{n-1}(G') \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}[1] \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

By tensoring it with $\mathcal{O}(-k)$ and applying $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}, -)$, we get a long exact sequence: $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}, \Phi^{n-1}(G') \otimes \mathcal{O}(-k-1)) \to \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}, \Phi^n(G') \otimes \mathcal{O}(-k)) \to \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}, \Phi^{n-1}(G') \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(-k))[1] \xrightarrow{+1}$.

Suppose the statement is true for $n-1$. Then the first complex in the long exact sequence is non-zero only at degree $d, d+(d-1), \ldots, d+(n-1)(d-1)$, and the third complex is non-zero only at degree $d + (d-1), d + 2(d-1), \ldots, d + n(d-1)$. Since we assume the dimension $d \geq 3$, the long exact sequence splits into short exact sequences, and the proof follows. □

For $n \geq 0$ and $k > 0$, define

$$B_{n,k} := \sum_{m=0}^{n} \dim \mathrm{Hom}^{d+m(d-1)}(\mathcal{O}, \Phi^n(G') \otimes \mathcal{O}(-k)) \cdot e^{-m(d-1)t}.$$

In particular, $B_{0,k} = \dim \mathrm{Hom}^d(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(-k)) = a_k$. The proof of Lemma 3.2 also gives a recursive relation among $B_{n,k}$'s:

$$(*) \qquad B_{n,k} = B_{n-1,k+1} + a_k e^{-(d-1)t} B_{n-1,1}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Define*

$$P_{s,k} := \sum_{\substack{i_1+\cdots+i_q=s \\ i_1 \geq k}} a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_q} e^{-q(d-1)t},$$

where the summation runs over all ordered partitions of s with the first piece no less than k. Then we have

$$B_{n,k} = a_{n+k} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} a_s P_{n+k-s,k}.$$

Proof. Induction on n and use the recursive relation (*). □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Proposition 2.4, the categorical entropy can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} h_t(\Phi) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_l \dim \mathrm{Hom}^l(G, \Phi^n(G')) e^{-lt} \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{k=1}^{d+1} \sum_l \dim \mathrm{Hom}^l(\mathcal{O}, \Phi^n(G') \otimes \mathcal{O}(-k)) e^{-lt} \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{k=1}^{d+1} B_{n,k}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3 and the fact that $\{a_k\}$ is an increasing sequence, we have $B_{n,1} \leq B_{n,k+1} \leq B_{n+k,1}$. Hence

$$h_t(\Phi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log B_{n,1}.$$

Define $C_n := B_{n,1}e^{-(d-1)t}$ and $a'_k := a_k e^{-(d-1)t}$. Then again by Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} C_n &= \Big(a_{n+1} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} a_s P_{n+1-s,1}\Big)e^{-(d-1)t} \\ &= \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_q=n+1} a'_{i_1}a'_{i_2}\cdots a'_{i_q}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(**) \qquad C_n = a'_1 C_{n-1} + a'_2 C_{n-2}\cdots + a'_n C_0 + a'_{n+1}.$$

Notice that $h_t(\Phi) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log B_{n,1} = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log C_n$ is a positive real number for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, because there exists some $k > 0$ (depending on d and t) such that $a'_k = a_k e^{-(d-1)t} > 1$, hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log C_n \geq \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log (a'_k)^{\lfloor\frac{n+1}{k}\rfloor} = \frac{1}{k}\log a'_k > 0.$$

By dividing both sides of (**) by C_n and taking $n \to \infty$, we can show that the categorical entropy $h_t(\Phi)$ is the unique $\lambda > 0$ satisfying

$$\sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{a'_k}{e^{k\lambda}} = 1.$$

Or equivalently,

$$\sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{\chi(\mathcal{O}(k))}{e^{k\lambda}} = e^{(d-1)t}.$$

□

4. Counterexample of Kikuta-Takahashi

Proposition 4.1. *For any even integer $d \geq 4$, let X be a Calabi–Yau hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^{d+1}$ of degree $(d+2)$ and $\Phi = \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}} \circ (- \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1))$. Then*

$$h_0(\Phi) > 0 = \log \rho(\Phi_{H^*}).$$

In particular, Conjecture 1.3 fails in this case.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, we only need to show that the spectral radius $\rho(\Phi_{H^*})$ equals to 1.

Consider another autoequivalence $\Phi' := \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}} \circ (- \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$ on $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$. By [1, Proposition 5.8], there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^b(X) & \xrightarrow{\Phi'} & \mathcal{D}^b(X) \\ \Psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi \\ \mathrm{HMF}^{\mathrm{gr}}(W) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathrm{HMF}^{\mathrm{gr}}(W). \end{array}$$

Here W is the defining polynomial of X, $\mathrm{HMF}^{\mathrm{gr}}(W)$ is the associated graded matrix factorization category, Ψ is an equivalence introduced by Orlov [12], and τ is the grade shift functor on $\mathrm{HMF}^{\mathrm{gr}}(W)$ which satisfies $\tau^{d+2} = [2]$. Hence we have $(\Phi')^{d+2} = [2]$ and $(\Phi')^{d+2}_{H^*} = \mathrm{id}_{H^*}$.

On the other hand, $(\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}})_{H^*}$ is an involution on $H^*(X;\mathbb{C})$ when X is an even dimensional strict Calabi–Yau manifold ([6, Corollary 8.13]). Thus $(\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}})_{H^*} = (\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}})^{-1}_{H^*}$. Hence we also have $\Phi^{d+2}_{H^*} = \mathrm{id}_{H^*}$, which implies that $\rho(\Phi_{H^*}) = 1$. □

Remark 4.2. *The autoequivalence Φ' that we considered in the proof is the one that corresponds to the monodromy around the Gepner point ($\mathbb{Z}_{d+2}$-orbifold point) in the Kähler moduli of X.*

Remark 4.3 (Genki Ouchi)**.** *The functor $\Phi = \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}} \circ (- \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1))$ does not produce counterexamples of Conjecture 1.3 if X is an* odd *dimensional Calabi–Yau manifold. When X is of odd dimension, Lemma 3.2 implies*

$$h_0(\Phi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \chi(G, \Phi^n(G')) \leq \log \rho([\Phi]).$$

On the other hand, we have $h_0(\Phi) \geq \log \rho([\Phi])$ by Kikuta-Shiraishi-Takahashi [8, Theorem 2.13]*.*

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Fabian Haiden for suggesting this problem to me, and Genki Ouchi for reading and pointing out Remark 4.3. I would also like to thank Philip Engel, Hansol Hong, Atsushi Kanazawa, Koji Shimizu, Yukinobu Toda and Cheng-Chiang Tsai for helpful coversations and correspondences. Finally, I would like to thank Shing-Tung Yau and Harvard University Math Department for warm support.

References

[1] M. Ballard, D. Favero and L. Katzarkov, *Orlov spectra: bounds and gaps.* Invent. Math. 189 (2012), no. 2, 359-430.

[2] A. Bondal and D. Orlov, *Reconstruction of a variety from the derived category and groups of autoequivalences*. Compositio Math. 125 (2001), no. 3, 327-344.

[3] G. Dimitrov, F. Haiden, L. Katzarkov and M. Kontsevich, *Dynamical systems and categories*. Contemp. Math., 621 (2014), 133-170.

[4] M. Gromov, *Entropy, homology and semialgebraic geometry*. Astrisque No. 145-146 (1987), 5, 225-240.

[5] M. Gromov, *On the entropy of holomorphic maps*. Enseign. Math. (2) 49 (2003), no. 3-4, 217-235.

[6] D. Huybrechts, *Fourier-Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs (2006).

[7] K. Kikuta, *On entropy for autoequivalences of the derived category of curves*. Adv. Math. 308 (2017), 699-712.

[8] K. Kikuta, Y. Shiraishi and A. Takahashi, *A note on entropy of autoequivalences: lower bound and the case of orbifold projective lines*. arXiv:1703.07147.

[9] K. Kikuta and A. Takahashi, *On the categorical entropy and the topological entropy*. arXiv:1602.03463.

[10] E. Macri and P. Stellari (with an appendix by S. Mehrotra), *Infinitesimal derived Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2009, no. 17, 3190-3220.

[11] D. Orlov, *Remarks on generators and dimensions of triangulated categories*. Mosc. Math. J. 9 (2009), no. 1, 153-159.

[12] D. Orlov, *Derived categories of coherent sheaves and triangulated categories of singularities*. Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin, Progr. Math., 270 (2009), 503-531.

[13] P. Seidel and R. Thomas, *Braid group actions on derived categories of coherent sheaves*. Duke Math. J. 108 (2001), no. 1, 37-108.

[14] W. Thurston, *On the geometry and dynamics of diffeomorphisms of surfaces*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 19 (1988), no. 2, 417-431.

[15] Y. Yomdin, *Volume growth and entropy*. Israel J. Math. 57 (1987), no. 3, 285-300.

[16] K. Yoshioka, *Categorical entropy for Fourier–Mukai transforms on generic abelian surfaces*. arXiv:1701.04009.

Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, One Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.

email: ywfan@math.harvard.edu